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Community Voices Committee Overview

The Colorado COVID Relief Fund (CCRF or Relief Fund) Community Voices Committee (CVC) is charged with sharing insights into community needs and opportunities with the Decision & Allocation Committee to support an informed decision-making process through the five rounds of funding scheduled. Members of the CVC represent diverse populations and sectors and include:

- Lydia McCoy, Colorado Nonprofit Association, Chair
- Louise Myrland, The Women’s Foundation of Colorado
- Sarah Andrews, Office of Economic Development & International Trade
- Brad Clark, Gill Foundation
- Verónica Figoli, Denver Public Schools Foundation
- Sarah Lipscomb, Colorado Department of Human Services
- Kevin Patterson, Connect for Health Colorado
- Misti Ruthven, Colorado Department of Education
- Cindy Eby, ResultsLab
- Alexandra West, Slalom
- Chris Busbee, Energize Colorado
- Melanie Tsuchida, Colorado Nonprofit Association

Application deadlines:
- Round 1: April 4, 7:00pm MT
- Round 2: April 18, 7:00pm MT
- Round 3: May 2, 7:00pm MT
- Round 4: May 16, 7:00pm MT
- Round 5: May 30, 7:00pm MT

Report Overview

The CVC is actively gathering, compiling, and reviewing data and feedback to provide key data-driven trends and takeaways that reflect the most pressing needs and current dynamics affecting Coloradans. This report includes COVID-19 impact metrics and health related data as well as a snapshot of Relief Fund application and grantee information from Rounds 1 and 2. The community needs sections are grouped by the voices being represented - individuals (community members), nonprofits, school districts, businesses, and funders.

Limitations

It is important to note that not all populations and communities are represented sufficiently in this report, especially communities who are disproportionately impacted by this crisis. The majority of the data included was collected via online survey, in English only, and are generally single instance, fielded for a short length of time, and sent to those already within the organization’s network, among other limitations in data collection. Data collection, design, and analysis also varies for each source.

The CVC is continuously seeking information from diverse sources to understand disparities within access to health, food, income support, and other needs that existed prior to COVID-19 and now exacerbated.
COVID Impact

Overview

Colorado COVID-19 health data paired with demographic data is included to better understand the breadth and depth of needs, support, and response required. It is broken out by county, specifically looking at confirmed cases, deaths, and population. Overlaying health and demographic data will help identify vulnerability and trends that may not be captured by application and grantee data alone.

Trends & Takeaways

Dating back to the beginning of the pandemic, when the first cases were reported in March 2020, data here is reflected through May 2, 2020.

Top 10 Confirmed Cases & Deaths by County:

Confirmed Cases                  Deaths
1. Denver                       1. Denver
2. Arapahoe                     2. Arapahoe
3. Weld                         3. Weld
10. Morgan                      10. Larimer

Top 10 Cases & Deaths Per Capita by County:

Confirmed Cases                  Deaths
1. Morgan                       1. Chaffee
2. Logan                        2. Morgan
3. Gunnison                     3. Weld
4. Eagle                        4. Gunnison
5. Weld                         5. Montrose
6. Denver                       6. Arapahoe
7. Summit                       7. Denver
8. Arapahoe                     8. Kit Carson
10. Adams                       10. Routt

CCRF prevention and impact funding focused on directing resources to heavily impacted and populated areas. Generally, counties with larger populations had higher case and death rates; however, when looking at per capita, the story shifts. Both counties with larger populations and those with higher need based on number of individuals affected per capita warrant significant funding. Counties that appear on all four top 10 lists above include: Denver, Arapahoe, Weld, and Morgan counties.
CCRF Applications & Funding Allocation

Overview

Application data for CCRF (the Relief Fund) support is included to demonstrate need by county level by priority area (prevention, impact, recovery), target population (need area), and organization type (501c3, for-profit, other) as well to assess and identify any possible gaps that may need attention through additional communications and awareness support. Insights from Round 1 and Round 2 applications are included here.

### Application & Funding Snapshots: Rounds 1 & 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Applications</th>
<th>Funding Requested</th>
<th># of Grants</th>
<th>Amount Funded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,622</td>
<td>$36,780,679</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>$8,412,620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Impact

- Prevention: 502 (24%)
- Grand Total: 2,068 (100%)

#### Amount Requested by Organization Type

- Registered 501c3: 74%
- For-Profit: 16%
- Other: 8%
- Entity with 501c3 sponsor: 2%

#### Amount Funded by Organization Type

- Registered 501c3: 82%
- For-Profit: 5%
- Other: 10%
- Entity with 501c3 sponsor: 3%

Funding allocation data is included to view side by side with application data to understand and identify potential gaps and trends between funding requested and funding allocation. Understanding both application request and grant distribution volume by need area and geography will help identify gaps in access and well as serve as a need-based marketing tool.

Every two weeks, the Fund grants up to $25,000 to each selected organization. There are 5 scheduled rounds of funding through the end of May. For Rounds 1 and 2, $36.8M in requests have been received, and $8.4M have been distributed. Round 3 applications were due May 2.

### Trends & Takeaways

Application and funding data are reviewed by:

1) applications by county of organization location (county of origin)
2) applications by county the organizations serve
3) additional insights
Applications and Funding by County of Organization Location

First, we will review applications and funding allocation based on the organization’s location - the county of the application’s origin.

All Applications by County of Org Location

- Top 5 (darker blue in map below)
  1. Denver (380)
  2. Arapahoe (140)
  3. Boulder (124)
  4. Jefferson (107)
  5. El Paso (102)

- < 10 applications (red in map below)
  1. Cheyenne
  2. Kiowa
  3. Jackson
  4. Mineral
  5. Washington

All Applications by County of Organization Location

[Map showing applications by county]
Grants by County of Organization Location

- Top 5 (darker blue in map below)
  1. Denver (72)
  2. Boulder (27)
  3. El Paso (25)
  4. Adams (20)
  5. Arapahoe (19)

- < 10 grants (red in map below)

- Did not receive (white in map below)
  1. Cheyenne
  2. Kiowa
  3. Jackson
  4. Mineral
  5. Washington
### Amount Funded by County of Organization Location

**Top 5**
1. Denver ($1,692,750)
2. Boulder ($618,500)
3. El Paso ($560,301)
4. Adams ($475,000)
5. Arapahoe ($460,000)

**Applied but received no funding**
1. Costilla
2. Gilpin
3. Ouray
4. Sedgwick

Support by county ranges from $25,000 to nearly $1.7M with 9 receiving no support (Did not apply: Cheyenne, Kiowa, Jackson, Mineral, Washington. Applied but did not receive: Costilla, Gilpin, Ouray, Sedgwick)
After reviewing applications and funding allocation based on the organization’s location above, we also looked at applications and funding by the county the organization serves. While it is understood that many organizations will not be allocating funds to every county they selected as ‘Location Served’ in application, it is important to note that organizations requested funding to support all 64 counties.

Although approximately half of applications received were from the metro areas of the front range, many of those organizations reported serving additional counties or the entire state. Below you can view the number of grants received based on the county the receiving organization selected as served.

Number of Grants Disbursed by County Organizations Serve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top 5</th>
<th>Bottom 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denver (111)</td>
<td>Logan (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams (95)</td>
<td>Custer (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arapahoe (92)</td>
<td>Jackson (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson (74)</td>
<td>Sedgwick (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder (62)</td>
<td>Rio Blanco (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# of Grants Disbursed by County Served

![Map showing number of grants disbursed by county served](image)
Each county is ‘supported’ by 20 or more grants. Most grants were awarded in the front range metro areas. We could assume this is largely because these counties reflect the greatest population density, high numbers of COVID-19 cases, and populations experiencing the deepest vulnerability.

However, this could also reflect a lack of awareness or capacity to apply to the CCRF from organizations outside of the front range. New data collected from community foundations across the state should give more insight into whether the Relief Fund is sufficiently, or least equitably, serving counties outside of the front range metro areas.

As stated previously, the Relief Fund may want to consider a more measured approach of supporting statewide organizations who can mobilize resources on a large scale as well as community-led organizations who are embedded with the individuals who are experiencing shifting and evolving priorities of need.

During the application process, the Relief Fund does not require organizations to report on how funds will be distributed per county, and thus cannot accurately reflect their impact per county. Investing in both statewide services as well as community-led efforts in high-need counties should increase the likelihood of filling potential gaps. Soliciting applications from smaller local organizations outside of the front range could also result in additional positive impact.
Additional Insights

Additional insight is gained when looking at the amount requested by organization location and priority area (prevention and impact for Rounds 1 and 2).

The chart to the right reviews applications by the county of the organization’s location (county of origin) and the percentage of funding by either prevention or impact funding.

The visual may help demonstrate where communications to apply are being targeted and where more may be needed based on level of knowledge and access outside the Denver Metro area. While the Relief Fund is promoted and advertised statewide, we understand the level of access outside the Denver Metro area might be limited.

The chart below reviews the percentage of each target population served by organizations who received funding from Round 1 and 2. You can identify gaps here as well as in visuals on the following page.

The side by side charts on the next page review:

1) amount requested and amount funded by county of organization location (county of origin)
2) amount requested and funded by target population

Percentages and rankings remain fairly consistent between amount requested and amount funded and demonstrate funding support for vulnerable groups such as those who are experiencing homelessness, suffer from domestic violence, and communities of color.
Note: Grantees can choose more than one category, reflecting the common intersectionality within these populations.
Individuals (Community Members)

Overview
This section includes data collected from community members through surveys or interactions, along with estimated job loss. Response rates vary from 300 to 1,000 for the different reports.

Trends & Takeaways
Top three basic needs among individuals across the state: (Mile High United Way Statewide 2-1-1 Data 4/25-5/1; Results Lab Rapid Needs Assessment 3/31-4/20)

1. **Housing Payment Assistance** (24% of 2-1-1 requests); most commonly rent payment assistance, followed by low income/subsidized housing, and mortgage payment assistance
   - Populations with a strong majority stating being very or somewhat worried about paying rent or mortgage are households with incomes of less than $30,000, 18-29 years old, urban women, those with disabilities, single women, and African Americans (Healthier Colorado Opinion Survey - 4/30/20)

2. **Food & Meals** (17% of 2-1-1 requests); most commonly SNAP/Food stamps, followed by food pantries and low cost/free food
   - Populations with strong majority stating being very or somewhat worried about paying for food are households with incomes of less than $30,000, those with disabilities, urban women, Hispanics, single women, and African Americans (Healthier Colorado Opinion Survey - 4/30/20)

3. **Utility Payment Assistance** (13% of 2-1-1 requests); most commonly electric services payment assistance
   - Populations with strong majority stating being very or somewhat worries about paying for utilities are those with no health insurance, and household incomes of less than $30,000, Hispanics, 18-29 years old, caretakers, African Americans, and caretakers (Healthier Colorado Opinion Survey - 4/30/20)

**Note:** In El Paso and Pueblo counties, food and meals accounted for a significantly higher percentage of requests - 36% and 32% of requests, respectively (Mile High United Way 2-1-1 Data 4/25-5/1).

**Chronic Illness**
For those with chronic illnesses or conditions (32%) that require them to leave the house periodically, a higher percentage of people of color reported lack of PPE, concerns about using public transportation and lack of transportation options as challenges faced when leaving their home. (CDPHE Community Survey - 3/24/20)
Job Loss
Areas with the largest estimated number of low-income jobs lost are (Urban Institute, Where Low-Income Jobs Are Being Lost to COVID-19 - 4/24/20):

- Denver County - 24,520
- Arapahoe County - 23,859
- El Paso County - 23,426
- Jefferson County - 20,596
- Adams County - 19,324

A higher percentage of Native American or American Indians reporting permanent job loss (2.4%) or temporary job loss (14.6%) (CDPHE Community Survey - 3/24/20).

Financial Situation
Populations that reported having a worse financial situation due to the coronavirus outbreak are those on Medicaid, those with no health insurance, those with incomes of less than $30,000 (Healthier Colorado Poll - 4/30/20). 26% of African American respondents stated their financial situation would be worse one year from now compared to the overall 17% of respondents (Healthier Colorado Poll - 4/30/20).
Nonprofits

Overview
Several organizations surveyed nonprofits to collect feedback on what they are hearing as needs from their constituents during this time in addition to understanding the needs for their own organization’s operations.

Trends & Takeaways
Nonprofits are reporting similar needs from their communities and populations served. The following need themes were reflected in findings. (Mile High United Way COVID-19 Survey - 4/7/20; Family Resource Center Association COVID Survey - 3/24/20; Community Impact Council Mesa County Survey - 3/27/20)

- Access to food
- Concerns about loss of income by individuals and families and need for direct income support
- Rent payment assistance and housing/shelter for people experiencing homelessness and/or domestic violence
- Access to medical services

Rural communities voiced more concern over access to food and medical services due to geographic isolation (Community Impact Council Mesa County Survey - 3/27/20).

Populations Disproportionately Impacted
Nonprofits also reported concerns and needs for populations that are being disproportionately affected, including: (Mile High United Way COVID-19 Survey - 4/7/20; Family Resource Center Association COVID Survey - 3/24/20)

- Refugees, immigrants, and undocumented workers
- Individuals and children in unsafe environments where they may be experiencing violence
- Older adults and elderly
- Those experiencing homelessness
- People with mental health and substance abuse issues

Needs of Nonprofits
The following need themes were reflected in findings. (Mile High United Way COVID-19 Survey - 4/7/20; Community Impact Council Mesa County Survey - 3/27/20; Philanthropy Colorado Nonprofit Survey - 3/19/20)

- Prevention measures and PPE for their own organization and those their clients rely on
- Need for more volunteers or hiring additional staff - especially organization’s providing food access, as well as medical organizations, shelters, and emergency family centers - due to decrease in volunteers due to safety and health (senior volunteers that are at-risk and no longer able to volunteer) or due to an increase in demand for services
- Support for staff to maintain operations or meet increased demand - organizations reported a strain on capacity and as a result concerns about the mental health and wellness of staff as organizations both decreased staff size as well as a need to hire temporary staff to keep up with demand.
School Districts

Overview
This section includes information on education supports and community needs for students and families.

Trends & Takeaways
In response to the pandemic, the Colorado Department of Education surveyed districts across the state between March 27th and April 4th. In findings pulled together by the Colorado Education Initiative, nearly 85% of districts responded, with 79% of responding districts representing rural communities. Roughly half of the respondents surveyed their families and reflected their feedback in the districts survey. (Colorado School District Needs Assessment - April 13, 2020)

Needs & Support
Top needed education supports reported by districts and BOCES are:
- Student emotional support (52%)
- Technical supports for delivering remote learning (47%)
- Online instructional supports for teachers (42%)
- Family engagement practices (38%)

Districts reported being the least prepared to support:
- Students experiencing trauma, immediate increased family needs
- At-risk students who do not initially respond to virtual outreach
- Highly mobile students moving in/out of district
- Students experiencing homelessness

District and BOCES reported needs for:

Internet connectivity
64,355 students without access at home. Rural districts stated hotspots are not viable as they rely on cellphone services and internet providers cannot provide fast enough service to accommodate the network needs for distance learning.

Wi-Fi enabled device
54,585 students statewide without access.

Mental health and counselling services
50% of regions prioritized for students or families.

The primary recurring school and school district needs are access to technology, internet, and food. Access to Wi-Fi and hotspots are a heightened need in rural areas.

Colorado School District Needs Assessment, CDE
Businesses

Overview
This section includes insights from the business community and captures information on workforce and unemployment data as well current and anticipated needs from surveyed populations.

Trends & Takeaways
Many small businesses looking for support through the Relief Fund did not meet eligibility requirements as they were mostly looking for operating dollars to stay open, versus directly serving COVID impacted communities.

The Outdoor Recreation Industry Office and the Office of Economic Development & International Trade (OEDIT) distributed surveys to their networks. Businesses reported on current operations and anticipate concerns. Roughly 60% of businesses estimate only being able to sustain operations for 0-6 months if the partial shutdown continues. (Colorado Outdoor Recreation Industry Office Survey - 4/11/20; Statewide OEDIT Survey - 4/9/20)

Employee Layoffs, Furloughs, Reduced Hours
25% of businesses have or anticipate laying off or furloughing more than 50% of their workforce. (Colorado Outdoor Recreation Industry Office Survey - 4/11/20; Statewide OEDIT Survey - 4/9/20)

50% of businesses stated they have or anticipate cutting back employee hours. (Colorado Outdoor Recreation Industry Office Survey - 4/11/20; Statewide OEDIT Survey - 4/9/20)

An estimated 173,000 restaurant jobs lost since the beginning of the coronavirus outbreak. (Colorado Restaurant Association, Colorado Restaurant Impact Survey - 4/18/20)

Unemployment
Over the past seven weeks (from May 2, 2020), a total of 419,547 claims (including Pandemic Unemployment Assistance) have been filed. The top five industries with the highest claims are accommodation and food services (5,283 claims), retail trade (5,092), healthcare and social service (3,775), administrative and waste management and remediation services (1,907), and arts, entertainment and recreation (1,819). (CDLE - 5/2/20)

Concerns
Top concerns for businesses surround employees, including not having the funds to pay employee health care insurance and the ability for employees to pay rent/mortgages on reduced incomes. (Statewide OEDIT Survey)
Funders

Overview
This section includes insights and survey data from various foundations and funders throughout the state.

Trends & Takeaways
Philanthropy Colorado, a membership organization of Colorado funders, includes giving information specific to COVID-19. Additionally, information provided by the Latino Community Foundation and Women’s Foundation of Colorado includes information on specific populations.

51 known Philanthropy Colorado members are either giving to a pooled fund (e.g. CCRF) and/or granting direct funding in response to COVID-19. (Philanthropy Colorado)

Number of funders serving each Colorado region/county that indicated COVID-19 support:

- Statewide - 19
- Denver Metro - 6
- Boulder County - 1
- Pikes Peak Area - 2
- Northern Colorado - 1
- Weld County - 1
- Longmont & St. Vrain Valley - 1
- Northwest - 2
- Grand & Jackson Counties - 1
- Summit County and surrounding communities - 1
- Western Colorado - 1
- Pitkin County and surrounding areas - 1
- Southeast - 1
- Southwest - 2

Note: This list is not reflective of all relief funds and contributing foundations that may be working collaboratively within their local communities.

Women in Colorado
Needs reported from Women’s Foundation of Colorado’s relief fund applications include:

- Women and their families urgently need assistance to meet basic human needs, especially support securing/remaining in stable housing, food assistance, and supplies such as diapers, wipes and hygiene products
- Needs are more pronounced for women of color and women in rural communities
- Access to a range of health resources needs to support women’s overall, reproductive and mental and behavioral health
- Domestic violence resources are in higher demand throughout the state.

Latino Community
549,181 immigrants in Colorado, 35,318 immigrants living in rural Colorado, 190,000 are undocumented. (Latino Community Foundation, COVID-19 Response)
Many immigrants remain excluded from Medicaid under the Families First Coronavirus Response Act and financial assistance from the CARES Act. Only 16% of Latino workers can telework, and 66% of Latino adults say they would not get paid if the coronavirus caused them to miss work for two weeks or more.

Additional needs specific to the Latino community:

- Paying for other **day-to-day household items and baby needs**
- Concerns for **college students** who are out of work (work study or hospitality jobs)
- DACA renewal costs is an issue
- Fear of accessing services because of uncertainty of safety and future implication for receiving assistance
- Concern for hospitality workers and others who still must report to work and unclear of precautionary practices
Observations

Collecting, analyzing, and visualizing relevant data sources will help drive funding decisions for the $17M+ (and growing) Relief Fund to support prevention, impact, and recovery funding for small businesses with a community focus, nonprofits, and state agencies throughout Colorado.

Immediate Needs

General
Statewide data from school districts, nonprofits, and businesses reinforce what many are hearing anecdotally — the need for basic services like rent and utilities are significant. Grant applications received from around the state also reflect these basic needs, including programs offering direct cash assistance programs and nonprofits providing rent stipends and gift cards for utility payments.

Job loss is high, especially among our most populated metropolitan areas, and our most vulnerable populations — those living below median income, our aging population, and communities of color — are being impacted the most. This is also being reflected in the number of applications for programs requesting operating dollars to hire more employees to distribute food, staff food pantries, and provide meal delivery services, childcare, and access to technology for those in need.

Although the number of Relief Fund applications have decreased from round to round in the prevention and impact categories for Rounds 1-3, the need is still present, and recovery funding will open for Round 4 applications. Whether these community-based organizations are seeking funding elsewhere or readjusting their models to adapt to this new normal, funding to our community-based organizations across the state must continue.

Long-Term Needs

General
It is clear the Relief Fund needs help reaching more populations across the state — additional partnerships within the philanthropic community, community-led organizations, and businesses can help us amplify the message.

As the Relief Fund shifts from prevention and impact funding to impact and recovery funding, we must continue to gather and analyze data from around the state on how COVID-19 is impacting our communities. By benchmarking this data against application and health data, the Relief Fund is poised to continue providing support as well as partner with those who are also able to continue supporting our nonprofits, businesses, school districts, and municipalities.

The commitment to ongoing data collection and analysis is critical to guide the rebuilding process in an informed and responsible way. This report outlines the most urgent needs in communities and the nonprofits and businesses serving them. However, if philanthropic efforts focus only on the immediate needs identified in the first few months of this crisis, we will inadvertently foster an environment where equally pressing but more complex longer-term needs go unaddressed.

The initial phase of urgent requests to support basic needs has lasted longer than we could have predicted at the onset of COVID-19 in Colorado. Considering the data on growing job loss, especially in lower income populations, we can expect reported needs to both grow in number and expand in scope in the coming months.
Data Sources

COVID Impact
- CCRF Dashboard
- Johns Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering
- Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation
- American Community Survey (ACS) - https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs
- U.S census data

CCRF Applications
- CCRF Dashboard
- Colorado Health Foundation

CCRF Funding Allocation
- CCRF Dashboard
- Colorado Health Foundation

Individuals (Community Members)
- MUHUW 2-1-1 Weekly Reports
- CDPHE COVID-19 Community Survey, 4/30/20
- Results Lab Rapid Needs Assessment, 3/31-4/20
- Urban Institute, Where Low-Income Jobs Are Being Lost to COVID-19, 4/24/20
- Healthier Colorado Poll, 4/30/20

Nonprofits
- Mile High United Way COVID-19 Survey, 4/7/20
- Family Resource Center Association COVID Survey, 3/24/20
- Community Impact Council Mesa County Survey, 3/27/20
- Philanthropy Colorado Nonprofit Impact Survey, 3/19/20

School Districts
- CDE/CEI: Colorado School District Needs Assessment, April 13, 2020

Businesses
- OEDIT Survey, 4/9/20
- Colorado Restaurant Association Impact Survey, 4/18/20
- Colorado Department of Labor and Employment, 5/2/20

Funders
- CCRF - application and grantee data
- Latino Community Foundation of Colorado COVID-19 Response
- Philanthropy Colorado Member Inventory, 4/29/20

Upcoming Data Sources
- Community Foundation Focus groups (early May)
- Philanthropy Colorado member grant data
- OEDIT Survey - Final report with updated 2,000+ responses
- Regional reports, including El Paso County Community member and Community Supports Surveys (by Inside Out Youth Services), and United Way of Southwest Colorado Member Survey